



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,073	12/20/2001	John Almeida	almeida073	5295

24221 7590 06/05/2009  
LOUIS VENTRE, JR  
2483 OAKTON HILLS DRIVE  
OAKTON, VA 22124-1530

EXAMINER
----------

THEIN, MARIA TERESA T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3627

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/05/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lventre@lventre.com  
ventre.louis@verizon.net

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/029,073	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALMEIDA, JOHN	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARISSA THEIN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARISSA THEIN. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Louis Ventre, Jr. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 308.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6029141 to Bezos.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Ventre explained his Applicant's invention. He further explained the differences between Applicant's invention and the Bezos reference, specifically, in reference to the recitation of "the first dynamic content and the second dynamic content originated from the third host". Examiner suggested to put his explanation in writing..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Marissa Thein/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627	June 2, 2009
--------------------------------------------	--------------